

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ADDISON ORR,)
Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:20-cv-00420-GMN-NJK
)
)
)

ORDER

STATE OF NEVADA,
Defendant.

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States Magistrate Judge Nancy Koppe, (ECF No. 3), which recommends that Plaintiff Addison Orr’s (“Plaintiff’s”) Complaint, (Ex. 1 to App. Leave Proceed *in forma pauperis*, ECF No. 1-1), “be dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff seeking appropriate relief in an appropriate venue.” (R&R 3:2, ECF No. 3).

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See, e.g., United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,

1 | 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

2 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, March 17, 2020, has passed.
3 (Min. Order, ECF No. 3).

4 | Accordingly,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Complaint, (ECF No. 3), is **DISMISSED without**
6 **prejudice.** Plaintiff may raise his arguments in an appropriate habeas petition or in an appeal
7 of his conviction in state court.

8 The Clerk of Court shall close the case and enter judgment accordingly.

9 || DATED this 19 day of March 2020.

Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge
United States District Court